

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,981	08/30/2001	Kie Y. Ahn	1303.021US1	1912
21186	7590 08/12/2002			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER	
	P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		LINDSAY JR, WALTER LEE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/944,981	AHN ET AL.		
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.	2812		
The MAILING DATE f this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to all within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29 and 54-60</u> is/are pending in the	e application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-13</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,14-20,22-28,54-56 and 58-60</u> is/s	are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 8,21,29 and 57 is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Karrinor.			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/	(a)-(d) or (f)		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under 55 5.5.5. § 115((a)-(a) or (i).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the price	• •			
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-		
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).		
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language profile 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	• •			
Attachment(s) ½		* -		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)		

*

×2.

The state of

Application/Control Number: 09/944,981

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 14-20, 22-28, 54-56 and 58-60 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maiti et al U.S. Patent No. 6,020,024 in view of Saito et al U.S. Patent No. 4,797,593.

The main subject of the present invention is the formation of a rare earth metal that is deposited by evaporation and then oxidized. The combination of Maiti and Saito are applied and cover these issues.

Maiti discloses the use of a metal oxide layer as a gate layer.

FIG. 2 illustrates the formation of a metal oxide gate layer 16. in one embodiment, the metal oxide gate dielectric layer 16 is formed by the deposition 18 of a metal film followed by an oxidizing ambient. In another embodiment, the environment 18 would be a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) of a metal oxide followed by an oxygen anneal to reduce oxygen vacancies in the metal oxide film. Such CVD metal oxide depositions or metal sputtering followed by O.sub.2 anneal would include the formation of tantalum pentoxide, titanium dioxide (TiO.sub.2), yttrium oxide (Y.sub.2 O.sub.3), niobium oxide (Nb.sub.2 O.sub.5), zirconium oxide (ZrO.sub.2), hafnium oxide (HfO.sub.2), lead zirconium titanate (PZT), barium strontium titanate (BST), calcium

쬈

Page 3

oxide (CaO), beryllium oxide (BeO), magnesium oxide (MgO), and strontium bismuth titanate (SBT). In addition to the deposition of metal oxide gate dielectric layer using CVD, it is possible to deposit a metal layer by sputtering and subsequently perform an oxidation step on the deposited layer and also perform CVD of a metallic oxide to form a composite metal layer. In this approach, any of the previously listed metals, tantalum, titanium, vttrium, niobium, zirconium or hafnium, could conceivably be deposited in metal form forming a layer and subsequently oxidized during an annealing step (col. 3 lines 30-52).

The metal oxide gate layer 16 will be a high-k dielectric layer. Typical permittivity values, depending upon the metal or alloy used to form the oxide layer 16. will be in the range of k=7.0 through 1500. Where tantalum pentoxide is used, a permittivity k or .epsilon. of approximately 25 is obtained. Therefore, where tantalum pentoxide forms the metal oxide gate layer, it can have a thickness of approximately 100 angstroms and have the same equivalent SiO.sub.2 thickness of 20 angstroms for a conventional gate oxide layer. The 100 angstroms thickness of the tantalum pentoxide gate would reduce the amount of leakage current across the gate dielectric structure while still improving the overall performance of the semiconductor structure by maintaining or reducing the effective gate oxide thickness (EOT). Following the formation of the high-k dielectric layer 16, an oxidizing (O.sub.2) anneal step, or other anneal process for improving the molecular quality, can be performed in order to reduce defects in of the dielectric layer (col. 3 line 64 –col. 4 line 14).

Application/Control Number: 09/944,981 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

Saito discusses a method of depositing a metal and metal oxide layer through evaporation.

The rare earth metal oxide layer 5a or the rare earth metal layer 5b is formed by an electron beam evaporation method or a sputtering method prior to formation of the electron-emissive layer 2 (col. 9 lines 56-64).

Given the teaching of the references, it would have been obvious to determine the optimum thickness, temperature as well as condition of delivery of the layers involved. See In re Aller, Lacey and Hall (10 USPQ 233-237) It is not inventive to discover optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed ranges or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 f.2d 1575,1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the knowledge of Saito that rare earth metals can be deposited by evaporation in the primary reference of Maiti to deposit the metal oxide gate layer in order to gain the advantage of the fact that a metal oxide layer can be formed to a thickness greater than a silicon oxide layer but maintains the advantages of a thinner layer of silicon oxide.

Allowabl Subject Matter

Claims 9-13 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,981

Art Unit: 2812

Ž,

4. Claims 8, 21, 29 and 57 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the prior art, either singly or in combination fails to anticipate or render obvious, the limitations of:

The process of evaporation combined with the oxidizing the metal layer in a krypton(Kr)/oxygen (O₂) mixed plasma process to form a metal oxide layer on the body region.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hong et al. U. S. Patent No. 6,404,027 (col. 3 lines 25-55) is pertinent to the applicant's disclosure and should also be considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter L. Lindsay, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 306-5727. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John F Niebling can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/944,981

Art Unit: 2812

Page 6

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-3325.

MLL Lindsuf fr. Walk L. Lindsuf fr. August 8, 2002

> John F. Nichling Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2000